

Application

No.L481

News

High Performance Liquid Chromatography

Analysis of Sugars and Sugar Alcohols in Energy Drink by Prominence-i with Differential Refractive Index Detector

Sugars and sugar alcohols display almost no ultraviolet absorption, and are therefore typically detected using a differential refractive index detector or evaporative light scattering detector. By using a ligand exchange column for sugar analysis, it is possible to distinguish among the different isomers based on the position of the hydroxyl group in the chair conformation of glucose and fructose for example. In other words, the hydroxyl group of the sugar and the metal ion of the stationary phase form a complex, making it possible to achieve separation due to the difference in the strength of the complex formation. Also, maintaining a column temperature of 80 °C suppresses sugar anomer separation and peak dispersion, thereby achieving good separation of adjacent peaks.

The new Prominence-i integrated high-performance liquid chromatograph can be connected to the RID-20A differential refractive index detector. The column oven, which can accommodate a 30 cm column and maintain temperature control up to 85 °C, therefore supports applications that require a long column.

In Application News No. 467, we introduced an example of analysis of sugars in juice, in which the Prominence-i was connected to a differential refractive index detector. Here, we introduce an example of simultaneous analysis of sugars and sugar alcohols in an energy drink using the Prominence-i and RID-20A.

Analysis of a Standard Mixture of Six Sugars

Sorbitol, xylitol, mannitol and erythritol are a type of sugar alcohol that because of their relative sweetness, are used as sweeteners. When conducting simultaneous analysis of sugars and sugar alcohols, a hydrophilic compound analytical column, such as the SPR-Ca or SPR-Pb, is suitable along with the use of a combination of the size exclusion and ligand exchange modes of analysis. Fig. 1 shows the results of analysis of a standard solution of six sugar alcohol substances (10 g/L each of maltose, glucose, fructose, erythritol, mannitol and sorbitol) using the SPR-Ca column with a 10 µL injection. The analytical conditions are shown in Table 1.

Fig. 2 shows the results of analysis of a standard solution of six sugar substances including sugar alcohols (10 g/L each of maltose, glucose, fructose, mannitol, xylitol, sorbitol) using a 10 μ L injection, and Table 2 shows the analytical conditions that were used. The SPR-Pb was used as the analytical column.

Table 1 Analytical Conditions

Column Mobile Phase Flowrate Column Temp. Injection Volume Detection	: Shim-pack SPR-Ca (250 mm L × 7.8 mm l.D., 8 μm) : Water : 0.6 mL/min : 80 °C : 10 μL : 8ID-20Δ
Detection	: RID-20A
	Polarity +, Cell temp. 40 °C, Response 1.5 sec

Table 2 Analytical Conditions

Column	: Shim-pack SPR-Pb (250 mm L × 7.8 mm I.D., 8 µm)
Mobile Phase	: Water
Flowrate	: 0.6 mL/min
Column Temp.	: 80 °C
Injection Volume	: 10 μL
Detection	: RID-20A
	Polarity +, Cell temp, 40 °C, Response 1.5 sec



Fig. 1 Chromatogram of a Standard Mixture of Six Sugars (10 g/L each, 10 µL Injected)



Fig. 2 Chromatogram of a Standard Mixture of Six Sugars (10 g/L each, 10 µL Injected)

Linearity

Fig. 3 shows the calibration curves generated using the analytical conditions of Table 2. When generating the curves for the six components over a concentration range of 0.2 to 10 g/L (using the average of three area values, respectively), excellent linearity with a coefficient of determination greater than R^2 =0.9999 was obtained for each component.



Fig. 3 Calibration Curves of a Standard Mixture of Six Sugars (0.2 – 10 g/L, 10 μL Injected)

Analysis of Energy Drink

Figs. 4 and 5 show the chromatograms obtained from measurement of energy drinks A and B, respectively. Energy drink A was diluted 10:1 with water, and energy B, 20:1 with water, and after each was filtered through a 0.2 μ m membrane filter, 10 μ L of each sample was injected. The analytical conditions were the same as those of Table 2.

Xylitol and sorbitol were detected in energy drink A, and glucose and fructose were detected in energy drink B. Table 3 shows the quantities of each of these sugars in the respective energy drinks.

Table 3 Content of Respective Sugars in Energy Drinks

	Content (g/L)		
	Energy Drink A	Energy Drink B	
Glucose	ND	59	
Fructose	ND	101	
Xylitol	25	ND	
Sorbitol	14	ND	



Fig. 4 Chromatogram of Energy Drink A (10 µL Injected)



Fig. 5 Chromatogram of Energy Drink B (10 µL Injected)

First Edition: Jan. 2015



Shimadzu Corporation

www.shimadzu.com/an/

For Research Use Only. Not for use in diagnostic procedures

The content of this publication shall not be reproduced, altered or sold for any commercial purpose without the written approval of Shimadzu. The information contained herein is provided to you "as is" without warranty of any kind including without limitation warranties as to its accuracy or completeness. Shimadzu does not assume any responsibility or liability for any damage, whether direct or indirect, relating to the use of this publication. This publication is based upon the information available to Shimadzu on or before the date of publication, and subject to change without notice.